### Short Communication

# Measurement of alpha diversity using Simpson index $(1/\lambda)$ : the jeopardy

## R. Sagar<sup>1</sup>, Gyan P. Sharma<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Botany, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221 005, India <sup>2</sup>Department of Environmental Studies, University of Delhi, Delhi 110 007, India E-mail: sagarbhu@gmail.com, gyanprakashsharma@gmail.com

*Received 29 December 2011; Accepted 5 February 2012; Published online 10 March 2012* IAEES

### Abstract

Simpson index, in the form of  $D=1/\lambda$ , is frequently cited in ecological literatures. In present study we proposed that 1- $\lambda$ , instead of 1/ $\lambda$ , for the measurement of alpha diversity could be a better and justified approach.

Keywords Simpson index; alpha diversity; revision.

Shannon-Wiener (Shannon and Weaver, 1949) and Simpson (Simpson, 1949) indices are the simple mathematical equations, widely used for the calculation of alpha diversity. Shannon-Wiener index  $(H'=-\sum_{i=1}^{s} p_i)$  $\ln p_i$ , where,  $p_i$  is the proportion of abundances belonging to species 'i') measures the species diversity within the community of an ecosystem. It will be zero if the sample in consideration has only one species and would be maximal when all species of the sample in consideration have even abundances (Sagar and Singh, 1999). Opposite to this, Simpson index measures the strength of dominance  $(\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{s} p_i^2)$ , because it weights towards the abundance of the most common species and varies inversely with species diversity) (Whittaker, 1972). A value of this index ranges from 0-1; zero represents no dominance and 1, for maximum dominance; viz: only one species in the sample (Greenberg, 1956; Berger and Parker, 1970). For representing diversity (D), Simpson index is subtracted from its maximum value of 1; *i.e.* 1-  $\lambda$  (Pielou, 1969), thus, the species diversity (D) according to Simpson index, also varies from 0-1; where, zero represents no diversity and 1, for maximum diversity. This logic is clear and bears no dispute, but alpha diversity calculation, by using the inverse of Simpson index  $(D=1/\lambda)$  which is frequently cited in ecological literatures (James and Rathburn, 1981, Biodiversity Pro, 1997; Moranta et al., 2000) bears logical problem. According to this equation, if the  $\lambda$  is 1 in a situation, then diversity (D) would also be 1, which is confusing and inappropriate. Further, in the case, where only one species is present, fundamentally, there would be no question of diversity. Thus, in this case, calculation based on this equation could not discriminate diversity and dominance. Therefore, use of equation,  $D=1/\lambda$ , for the calculation of species diversity and other indices (Hill's N2 and Hill's ratio) (Magurran, 1988) by scientific communities need to be realized and use of 1- $\lambda$  instead of 1/ $\lambda$  for the measurement of alpha diversity could be a better and justified approach.

#### References

Berger WH, Parker FL. 1970. Diversity of planktonic Forminifera in deep-sea sediments. Science, 168: 1345-1347

Biodiversity Pro. 1997. http://www.sams.ac.uk/dml/projects/benthic/bdpro. IAEES

Greenberg JH. 1956. The measurement of linguistic diversity. Language, 32: 109-115

- James FC, Rathbum S. 1981. Rerefaction, relative abundance and diversity of avian communities. The Auk, 98: 786-800
- Magurran AE. 1988. Ecological diversity and its measurement. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, USA
- Moranta J, Massuti E, Morales-nin B. 2000. Fish catch composition of the deep-sea decapods crustacean fisheries in the Balearic Islands (western Mediterranean). Fisheries Research, 45: 253-264
- Pielou EC. 1969. An introduction to Mathematical Ecology. Wiley, New York, USA
- Sagar R, Singh JS. 1999. Species diversity and its measurement. The Botanica, 49: 9-16
- Shannon CE, Weaver W. 1949. The Mathematical Theory of Communication. University of Illions Press, Urbana, USA
- Simpson EH. 1949. Measurement of diversity. Nature, 163: 688
- Whittaker RH. 1972. Evolution and measurement of species diversity. Taxon, 21: 213-251